

# A Rare Opportunity, the Mu2e Experiment

Doug Glenzinski

Fermilab

September-2015

# Introduction

- What is Mu2e?
  - A search for Charged-Lepton Flavor Violation via




- Will use *current* Fermilab accelerator complex to reach a sensitivity 10 000 better than current world's best
- Will have *discovery* sensitivity over broad swath of New Physics parameter space

# Flavor Violation

- We've known for a long time that quarks mix → (Quark) Flavor Violation
  - Mixing strengths parameterized by CKM matrix
- In last 15 years we've come to know that neutrinos mix → Lepton Flavor Violation (LFV)
  - Mixing strengths parameterized by PMNS matrix
- Why not charged leptons?
  - Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (CLFV)

# CLFV in the Standard Model



- Strictly speaking, forbidden in the SM
- Even in  $\nu$ -SM, extremely suppressed  
(rate  $\sim \Delta m_\nu^4 / M_W^4 < 10^{-50}$ )
- However, most all NP models predict rates observable at next generation CLFV experiments

# Some CLFV Processes

Process	Current Limit	Next Generation exp
$\tau \rightarrow \mu\eta$	BR < 6.5 E-8	10 <sup>-9</sup> - 10 <sup>-10</sup> (Belle II)
$\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$	BR < 6.8 E-8	
$\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$	BR < 3.2 E-8	
$\tau \rightarrow eee$	BR < 3.6 E-8	
$K_L \rightarrow e\mu$	BR < 4.7 E-12	
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+e^-\mu^+$	BR < 1.3 E-11	
$B^0 \rightarrow e\mu$	BR < 7.8 E-8	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+e\mu$	BR < 9.1 E-8	
$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+\gamma$	BR < 5.7 E-13	10 <sup>-14</sup> (MEG)
$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+e^+e^-$	BR < 1.0 E-12	10 <sup>-16</sup> (PSI)
$\mu N \rightarrow eN$	$R_{\mu e} < 7.0 E-13$	10 <sup>-17</sup> (Mu2e, COMET)

(current limits from the PDG)

- Most promising CLFV measurements use  $\mu$

# CLFV Predictions

M.Blanke, A.J.Buras, B.Duling, S.Recksiegel, C.Tarantino

ratio	LHT	MSSM (dipole)	MSSM (Higgs)
$\frac{Br(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.02...1	$\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.04...0.4	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)}$	0.04...0.4	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.06...0.1
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.04...0.3	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.02...0.04
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)}$	0.04...0.3	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}$	0.8...2.0	$\sim 5$	0.3...0.5
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^-)}$	0.7...1.6	$\sim 0.2$	5...10
$\frac{R(\mu Ti \rightarrow e Ti)}{Br(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	$10^{-3} \dots 10^2$	$\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.08...0.15

Table 3: Comparison of various ratios of branching ratios in the LHT model ( $f = 1 \text{ TeV}$ ) and in the MSSM without [92,93] and with [96,97] significant Higgs contributions.

arXiv:0909.5454v2[hep-ph]

- Relative rates model dependent
- Measure several to pin-down theory details

# CLFV Predictions

M.Blanke, A.J.Buras, B.Duling, S.Recksiegel, C.Tarantino

ratio	LHT	MSSM (dipole)	MSSM (Higgs)
$\frac{Br(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.02...1	$\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\sim 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.04...0.4	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)}$	0.04...0.4	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.06...0.1
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	0.04...0.3	$\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.02...0.04
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)}$	0.04...0.3	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- e^+ e^-)}{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}$	0.8...2.0	$\sim 5$	0.3...0.5
$\frac{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{Br(\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- e^+ e^-)}$	0.7...1.6	$\sim 0.2$	5...10
$\frac{R(\mu Ti \rightarrow e Ti)}{Br(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)}$	$10^{-3} \dots 10^2$	$\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.08...0.15


Table 3: Comparison of various ratios of branching ratios in the LHT model ( $f = 1$  TeV) and in the MSSM without [92,93] and with [96,97] significant Higgs contributions.

arXiv:0909.5454v2[hep-ph]


- Relative rates model dependent
- Measure several to pin-down theory details

# New Physics Contributions to $\mu N \rightarrow e N$


Loops



Supersymmetry




Heavy Neutrinos




Two Higgs Doublets


Contact Terms



Compositeness




Leptoquarks



New Heavy Bosons /  
Anomalous Couplings

$\mu N \rightarrow e N$  sensitive to wide array of New Physics models

# Mu2e Sensitivity



Courtesy A. de Gouvea, B. Bernstein, D. Hitlin

- Mu2e Sensitivity best in all scenarios

# Mu2e Sensitivity

W. Altmannshofer, A.J.Buras, S.Gori, P.Paradisi, D.M.Straub

	AC	RVV2	AKM	$\delta$ LL	FBMSSM	LHT	RS
$D^0 - \bar{D}^0$	★★★★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	?
$\epsilon_K$	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★	★★	★★★★
$S_{\psi\phi}$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$S_{\phi K_S}$	★★★★	★★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?
$A_{CP}(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?
$A_{7,8}(B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-)$	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★★	?
$A_9(B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-)$	★	★	★	★	★	★	?
$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★	★
$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$	★★★★	★★★★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$\mu + N \rightarrow e + N$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$d_n$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★	★★★★	★	★★★★
$d_e$	★★★★	★★★★	★★	★	★★★★	★	★★★★
$(g-2)_\mu$	★★★★	★★★★	★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?

Table 8: “DNA” of flavour physics effects for the most interesting observables in a selection of SUSY and non-SUSY models ★★★★★ signals large effects, ★★ visible but small effects and ★ implies that the given model does not predict sizable effects in that observable.

★★★★ = Discovery Sensitivity

arXiv:0909.1333 [hep-ph]

- Mu2e sensitive across the board

# Mu2e Sensitivity

W. Altmannshofer, A.J.Buras, S.Gori, P.Paradisi, D.M.Straub

	AC	RVV2	AKM	$\delta$ LL	FBMSSM	LHT	RS
$D^0 - \bar{D}^0$	★★★★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	?
$\epsilon_K$	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★	★★★	★★★★
$S_{\psi\phi}$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$S_{\phi K_S}$	★★★★	★★★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?
$A_{CP}(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma)$	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?
$A_{7,8}(B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-)$	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★	?
$A_9(B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-)$	★	★	★	★	★	★	?
$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★	★
$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	★
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★★	★★★★
$\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$\mu + N \rightarrow e + N$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★
$d_n$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★★★	★★★★	★	★★★★
$d_e$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★	★	★★★★	★	★★★★
$(g-2)_\mu$	★★★★	★★★★	★★★	★★★★	★★★★	★	?

★★★★ = Discovery Sensitivity

arXiv:0909.1333 [hep-ph]

Table 8: “DNA” of flavour physics effects for the most interesting observables in a selection of SUSY and non-SUSY models ★★★★★ signals large effects, ★★★ visible but small effects and ★ implies that the given model does not predict sizable effects in that observable.

- Mu2e sensitive across the board

# Mu2e Sensitivity

CERN-PH-TH-2014-229  
LAPTH-227/14

## Lepton Flavor Violation in $B$ Decays?

Sheldon L. Glashow<sup>1\*</sup>, Diego Guadagnoli<sup>2†</sup> and Kenneth Lane<sup>1‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Boston University

590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique

UMR5108, Université de Savoie, CNRS

B.P. 110, F-74941, Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

November 17, 2014

arXiv:1409.5669

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

### Abstract

The LHCb Collaboration's measurement of  $R_K = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)$  lies  $2.6\sigma$  below the Standard Model prediction. Several groups suggest a deficit to result from new lepton non-universal interactions of muons. But non-leptonic interactions imply lepton flavor violation in  $B$ -decays at rates much lower than expected in the Standard Model. A simple model shows that these rates lie just below current limits. An interesting consequence of our model, that  $\mu^+ \mu^- \text{exp}/\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)_{SM} \cong R_K \cong 0.75$ , is compatible with recent measurements. We stress the importance of searches for lepton flavor violations, for  $B \rightarrow K\mu e$ ,  $K\mu\tau$  and  $B_s \rightarrow \mu e$ ,  $\mu\tau$ .

arXiv:1411.0565

## Rare Flavor Processes in Maximally Natural Supersymmetry

Isabel García García,<sup>a</sup> John March-Russell<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford,  
1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, UK

<sup>b</sup>Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics,  
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

E-mail: [isabel.garciagarca@physics.ox.ac.uk](mailto:isabel.garciagarca@physics.ox.ac.uk), [jmr@thphys.ox.ac.uk](mailto:jmr@thphys.ox.ac.uk)

**ABSTRACT:** We study CP-conserving rare flavor violating processes in the recently proposed theory of Maximally Natural Supersymmetry (MNSUSY). MNSUSY is an unusual supersymmetric (SUSY) extension of the Standard Model (SM) which, remarkably, is un-tuned at present LHC limits. It employs Scherk-Schwarz breaking of SUSY by boundary conditions upon compactifying an underlying 5-dimensional (5D) theory down to 4D, and is not well-described by softly-broken  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SUSY, with much different phenomenology than the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) and its variants. The usual CP-conserving SUSY-flavor problem is automatically solved in MNSUSY due to a residual almost exact  $U(1)_R$  symmetry, naturally heavy and highly degenerate 1st- and 2nd-generation sfermions, and heavy gauginos and Higgsinos. Depending on the exact implementation of MNSUSY there exist important new sources of flavor violation involving gauge boson Kaluza-Klein

MS-TP-14-37

## Probing the scotogenic model with lepton flavor violating processes

Avelino Vicente

IFPA, Dep. AGO, Université Liège,  
Bat B5, Sart-Tilman B-4000, Liège 1, Belgium

Carlos E. Yaguna

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Münster,  
Wilhelm-Klemm-Straße 9, D-48149 Münster, Germany

### Abstract

We study the impact that future lepton flavor violating experiments will have on the viable parameter space of the scotogenic model. Within this model, the dark matter particle is a singlet fermion and two cases are considered: relic density is obtained: via self-annihilation with the scalars. For each case, a scan over

arXiv:1412.2545

arXiv:1411.6612

## Seesaw Models with Minimal Flavor Violation

Xiao-Gang He,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Chao-Jung Lee<sup>3</sup>, Jusak Tandean<sup>3</sup>, Ya-Juan Zheng<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INPAC, SKLPPC, and Department of Physics,  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

<sup>2</sup>Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences,  
Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>CTS, CASTS, and Department of Physics,  
National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

### Abstract

We explore realizations of minimal flavor violation (MFV) for leptons in the simplest seesaw models where the neutrino mass generation mechanism is driven by new fermion singlets (type I) or triplets (type III) and by a scalar triplet (type II). We also discuss similarities and differences of the MFV implementation among the three scenarios. To study the phenomenological implications, we consider a number of effective dimension-six operators that are purely leptonic or couple leptons to the standard-model gauge and Higgs bosons and evaluate constraints on the scale of MFV associated with these operators from the latest experimental information. Specifically, we employ the most recent measurements of neutrino mixing parameters as well as the currently available data on flavor-violating radiative and three-body decays of charged leptons,  $\mu \rightarrow e$  conversion in nuclei, the anomalous magnetic moments of charged leptons, and their electric dipole moments. The most stringent lower-limit on the

- Persistent interest in Lepton Flavor Violation and in muon-to-electron conversion (ie. Mu2e)

# Mu2e – High Priority for U.S. HEP


- In the 2008 P5 report Mu2e was strongly supported:
  - “Mu2e should be pursued in all budget scenarios considered by the panel”
- In 2010 P5 reiterated their support of the 2008 plan and the priorities specified therein.
- In 2013 the Facilities Panel gave Mu2e the highest endorsement:
  - “The science of Mu2e is *Critical* to the DOE OHEP mission and is *Ready to Construct*.”
- In the 2014 P5 report Mu2e is strongly supported:
  - Recommendation 22, “Complete the Mu2e and Muon (g-2) Projects.”

# How does Mu2e work?

# Mu2e Concept

- Generate a beam of low momentum muons ( $\mu^-$ )
- Stop the muons in a target
  - Mu2e plans to use aluminum
  - Sensitivity goal requires  $\sim 10^{18}$  stopped muons
- The stopped muons are trapped in orbit around the nucleus
  - In orbit around aluminum:  $\tau_{\mu}^{\text{Al}} = 864 \text{ ns}$
  - Large  $\tau_{\mu}^{\text{N}}$  important for discriminating background
- Look for events consistent with  $\mu\text{N} \rightarrow \text{eN}$

# Mu2e Proton Beam



- Mu2e will use a pulsed proton beam and a delayed live gate to suppress prompt backgrounds

# Mu2e Concept

- Generate a beam of low momentum muons ( $\mu^-$ )
- Stop the muons in a target
  - Mu2e plans to use aluminum
  - Sensitivity goal requires  $\sim 10^{18}$  stopped muons
- The stopped muons are trapped in orbit around the nucleus
  - In orbit around aluminum:  $\tau_{\mu}^{\text{Al}} = 864 \text{ ns}$
  - Large  $\tau_{\mu}^{\text{N}}$  important for discriminating background
- Look for events consistent with  $\mu\text{N} \rightarrow \text{eN}$

# Mu2e Signal

- The process is a coherent one
  - The nucleus is kept intact
- Experimental signature is an electron and nothing else
  - Energy of electron:  $E_e = m_\mu - E_{\text{recoil}} - E_{1S\text{-B.E.}}$
  - For aluminum:  $E_e = 104.96 \text{ MeV}$
  - Important for discriminating background

# Mu2e Sensitivity

- Design goal: single-event-sensitivity  $2.4 \times 10^{-17}$ 
  - Requires about  $10^{18}$  stopped muons
  - Requires about  $10^{20}$  protons on target
  - Requires extreme suppression of backgrounds
- Expected limit:  $R_{\mu e} < 6 \times 10^{-17}$  @ 90% CL
  - Factor  $10^4$  improvement
- Discovery sensitivity: all  $R_{\mu e} > \text{few} \times 10^{-16}$ 
  - Covers broad range of new physics theories

# Backgrounds

# Mu2e Backgrounds

- Intrinsic – scale with no. stopped muons
  - $\mu$  Decay-in-Orbit (DIO)
  - Radiative muon capture (RMC)
- Late arriving – scale with no. late protons
  - Radiative pion capture (RPC)
  - $\mu$  and  $\pi$  decay-in-flight (DIF)
- Miscellaneous
  - Anti-proton induced
  - Cosmic-ray induced

# Mu2e Backgrounds

Category	Source	Events
Intrinsic	$\mu$ Decay in Orbit	0.20
	Radiative $\mu$ Capture	<0.01
Late Arriving	Radiative $\pi$ Capture	0.02
	Beam electrons	<0.01
	$\mu$ Decay in Flight	<0.01
	$\pi$ Decay in Flight	<0.01
Miscellaneous	Anti-proton induced	0.05
	Cosmic Ray induced	0.10
Total Background		0.37


(assuming  $6.8E17$  stopped muons in  $6E7$  s of beam time)

- Designed to be nearly background free

# Mu2e Intrinsic Backgrounds

Once trapped in orbit, muons will:

- 1) Decay in orbit (DIO):  $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \nu_e N$ 
  - For Al. DIO fraction is 39%
  - Electron spectrum has tail out to 104.96 MeV
  - Accounts for ~55% of total background



# Mu2e Intrinsic Backgrounds

Once trapped in orbit, muons will:

## 2) Capture on the nucleus:


- For Al. capture fraction is 61%
- Ordinary  $\mu$  Capture
  - $\mu^- N_Z \rightarrow \nu N_{Z-1}^*$
  - Used for normalization
- Radiative  $\mu$  capture
  - $\mu^- N_Z \rightarrow \nu N_{Z-1}^* + \gamma$
  - (# Radiative / # Ordinary)  $\sim 1 / 100,000$
  - $E_\gamma$  kinematic end-point  $\sim 102$  MeV
  - Asymmetric  $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$  pair production can yield a background electron

# Mu2e Late Arriving Backgrounds

- Backgrounds arising from all the other interactions which occur at the production target
  - Overwhelmingly produce a prompt background when compared to  $\tau_{\mu}^{Al} = 864$  ns
  - Eliminated by defining a signal timing window starting 700 ns after the initial proton pulse
  - Must eliminate out-of-time (“late”) protons, which would otherwise generate these backgrounds in time with the signal window

$$\text{out-of-time protons} / \text{in-time protons} < 10^{-10}$$

# Mu2e Proton Beam



- Protons that arrive late can give rise to prompt backgrounds in the delayed live window.

# Mu2e Late Arriving Backgrounds

- Contributions from
  - Radiative  $\pi$  Capture
    - $\pi^- N_Z \rightarrow N_{Z-1}^* + \gamma$
    - For Al.  $R\pi C$  fraction: 2%
    - $E_\gamma$  extends out to  $\sim m_\pi$
    - Asymmetric  $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$  pair production can yield background electron
  - Beam electrons
    - Originating from upstream  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^0$  decays
    - Electrons scatter in stopping target to get into detector acceptance
  - Muon and pion Decay-in-Flight
- Taken together these backgrounds account for  $\sim 10\%$  of the total background and scale *linearly* with the number of out-of-time protons

# Mu2e Miscellaneous Backgrounds


- Several additional miscellaneous sources can contribute background - most importantly:
  - **Anti-protons**
    - Proton beam is just above pbar production threshold
    - These low momentum pbars wander until they annihilate
    - A thin mylar window in beamline absorbs most of them
    - Annihilations produce high multiplicity final states  
e.g.  $\pi^-$  can undergo  $R\pi C$  to yield a background electron
  - **Cosmic rays**
    - Suppressed by passive and active shielding
    - $\mu$  DIF or interactions in the detector material can give an  $e^-$  or  $\gamma$  that yield a background electron
    - Background listed assumes veto efficiency of 99.99%

# Keys to Mu2e Success

- Pulsed proton beam
  - Narrow proton pulses ( $< \pm 125$  ns)
  - Very few out-of-time protons ( $< 10^{-10}$ )
- Avoid trapping particles... B-field requirements
  - Further mitigates beam-related backgrounds
- High CR veto efficiency ( $>99.99\%$ )
- Excellent momentum resolution ( $<200$  keV core)
- Thin anti-proton annihilation window(s)

# The Mu2e Beamlines

# The Mu2e Proton Beam



- Mu2e begins by using protons to produce pions
- Mu2e will repurpose much of the Tevatron anti-proton complex to instead produce muons.
- Mu2e can (and will) run simultaneously with NOvA.

# The Mu2e Proton Beam

Item	Value	Units
Number of spills per MI cycle	8	
Number of protons per micro-pulse	31	Mp
Maximum Delivery Ring Beam Intensity	1.0	Tp
Instantaneous spill rate	18.5	Tp/sec
Average spill rate	6.0	Tp/sec
Duty Factor	32	%
Duration of spill	54	msec
Spill On Time per MI cycle	497	msec
Spill Off Time per MI cycle	836	msec
Time Gap between 1 <sup>st</sup> set of 4 and 2 <sup>nd</sup> set of 4 spills	36	msec
Time Gap between spills	5	msec
Pulse-to-pulse intensity variation <sup>f</sup>	±50	%


Table 5.2. Delivery Ring Spill Parameters

- Mu2e will use 8kW of 8 GeV proton beam

# Mitigating out-of-time protons


- The RF structure of the Recycler provides some “intrinsic” extinction:
  - Extinction (Intrinsic) = few  $10^{-5}$
- A custom-made AC dipole placed just upstream of the production target provides additional “external” extinction:
  - Extinction (AC dipole) =  $10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$
- Together they provide a total extinction:
  - Extinction (Total) = few  $10^{-11} - 10^{-12}$

# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus



- Consists of 3 solenoid systems

# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus



Production Solenoid:  
8 GeV protons interact with a tungsten target to produce  $\mu^-$  (from  $\pi^-$  decay)


- Consists of 3 solenoid systems

# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus

Production  
Solenoid

Transport  
Solenoid

Detector  
Solenoid



Transport Solenoid:  
Captures  $\pi^-$  and subsequent  $\mu^-$ ; momentum- and sign-selects beam


- Consists of 3 solenoid systems

# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus

Production  
Solenoid

Transport  
Solenoid

Detector  
Solenoid




Detector Solenoid:

Upstream – Al. stopping target, Downstream – tracker, calorimeter  
(not shown – cosmic ray veto system, extinction monitor, target monitor)

- Consists of 3 solenoid systems


# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus



Graded fields important to suppress backgrounds, to increase muon yield, and to improve geometric acceptance for signal electrons

- Consists of 3 solenoid systems




# Mu2e Experimental Apparatus



Graded fields important to suppress backgrounds, to increase muon yield, and to improve geometric acceptance for signal electrons

- Derived from MELC concept originated by Lobashev and Djilkibaev in 1989

# Mu2e Conductor R&D



- Conductor production is well along
  - PS, TS, DS conductor demonstrated
  - Need ~80 km total (incl. spares); about half done.

# Mu2e Solenoid Summary

	PS	TS	DS
Length (m)	4	13	11
Diameter (m)	1.7	0.4	1.9
Field @ start (T)	4.6	2.5	2.0
Field @ end (T)	2.5	2.0	1.0
Number of coils	3	50	11
Conductor (km)	10	44	15
Operating current (kA)	10	3	6
Stored energy (MJ)	80	20	30
Cold mass (tons)	11	26	8

- PS, DS will be built by General Atomics
  - TS will be assembled at Fermilab

# Mu2e Solenoid Summary




Figure 7.6. Coil cold mass with cooling tubes and support structure.

- Designs are nearly finalized.




Figure 7.25. TSu Cryostat Interfaces. Top: TSu-PS interface; Bottom; TSu-TSd interface.

# Mu2e Solenoid Summary




Figure 7.28. Axial field distribution at the center of TS3 (left); radial field distribution at the center of TS3 (right).

- Designs meet field specs (including fabrication and design tolerances).





Figure 7.39. Comparison of the magnetic field with the field requirements in the DS gradient section (DS1 Gradient). Field requirements from Table 7.2 are shown in green.  $\Delta B$  is relative to uniform gradient of  $-0.25$  T/m and a field value of  $1.5$  T at the stopping target on axis (blue); on a radial cone from  $0.3$  m to  $0.7$  m starting at the upstream end of DS1 section (red).

# Mu2e Conductor Production



- Have established a good relationship with the vendors

September 2015

D.Glenzinski, Fermilab

44

# Some Mu2e numbers

- Every 1 second Mu2e will
  - Send 7,000,000,000,000 protons to the Production Solenoid
  - Send 26,000,000,000  $\mu_s$  through the Transport Solenoid
  - Stop 13,000,000,000,  $\mu_s$  in the Detector Solenoid
- By the time Mu2e is done...

# Total number of stopped muons

1,000,000,000,000,000,000

# Some Perspective




1,000,000,000,000,000,000

= number of stopped Mu2e muons

= number of grains of sand on earth's beaches

# The Mu2e Detectors

# The Mu2e Detector



Transport  
Solenoid

Detector Solenoid

- I am going to focus on the principle elements:
  - Tracker, Calorimeter, Cosmic-Ray Veto




# The Mu2e Tracker

- Will employ straw technology
  - Low mass
  - Can reliably operate in vacuum
  - Robust against single-wire failures



- 5 mm diameter straw
- Spiral wound
- Walls: 12  $\mu\text{m}$  Mylar + 3  $\mu\text{m}$  epoxy
  - + 200  $\text{\AA}$  Au + 500  $\text{\AA}$  Al
- 25  $\mu\text{m}$  Au-plated W sense wire
- 33 – 117 cm in length
- 80/20 Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> with HV < 1500 V

# The Mu2e Tracker



- Self-supporting “panel” consists of 100 straws
- 6 panels assembled to make a “plane”
- 2 planes assembled to make a “station”
- Rotation of panels and planes improves stereo information
- >20k straws total

# First Prototype Panel



- Starting to test in vacuum


September 2015

D.Glenzinski, Fermilab



52

# The Mu2e Tracker

- 18 “stations” with straws transverse to the beam
- Naturally moves readout and support to large radii, out of the active volume



# The Mu2e Tracker




- Inner 38 cm is purposefully un-instrumented
  - Blind to beam flash
  - Blind to >99% of DIO spectrum

# Mu2e Track Reconstruction


- Straw-hit rates
  - From beam flash (0-300 ns):  $\sim 1000$  kHz/cm<sup>2</sup>
    - Need to survive this, but won't collect data
  - Later, near live window (>500 ns)
    - Peak  $\sim 20$  kHz/cm<sup>2</sup> (inner straws)
    - Average  $\sim 10$  kHz/cm<sup>2</sup> (over all straws)

# Mu2e Pattern Recognition



- A signal electron, together with all the other “stuff” occurring simultaneously, integrated over 500-1695 ns window


# Mu2e Pattern Recognition



(particles with hits within  $\pm 50$  ns of signal electron  $t_{\text{mean}}$ )


- We use timing information to look in  $\pm 50$  ns windows – significant reduction in occupancy and significant simplification for Patt. Rec.

# Mu2e Spectrometer Performance




- Performance well within physics requirements

# After all analysis requirements



- Single-event-sensitivity =  $2.9 \times 10^{-17}$   
(SES goal  $2.4 \times 10^{-17}$ )
  - Total background < 0.5 events


# Track Reconstruction and Selection



Inefficiency dominated by geometric acceptance

After calorimeter PID and CRV deadtime, Total = 8.5%


# Mu2e Performance



- Robust against increases in rate

# Mu2e Calorimeter

- Crystal calorimeter
  - Compact
  - Radiation hard
  - Good timing and energy resolution




# Mu2e Calorimeter

- Baseline design : Barrium Flouride ( $\text{BaF}_2$ )
  - Radiation hard, very fast, non-hygroscopic


	$\text{BaF}_2$
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.89
Radiation length (cm)	2.03
Moliere Radius (cm)	3.10
Interaction length (cm)	30.7
dE/dX (MeV/cm)	6.52
Refractive index	1.50
Peak luminescence (nm)	220 (300)
Decay time (ns)	1 (650)
Light yield (rel. to NaI)	4% (36%)
Variation with temperature	0.1% (-1.9)% / deg-C

# Mu2e Calorimeter




- Will employ 2 disks ( radius = 36-70 cm)
- ~1900 crystals with square cross-section
  - ~3 cm diameter, ~20 cm long ( $10 X_0$ )
- Two photo-sensors/crystal on back (APDs or SiPMs)

# Mu2e Calorimeter




- With 40 ns hit separation, expect to achieve an energy resolution  $<5\%$  for 105 MeV electrons
  - Performance a weak function of rate in relevant range

# Mu2e Cosmic-Ray Veto





- Cosmic  $\mu$  can generate background events via decay, scattering, or material interactions

# Mu2e Cosmic-Ray Veto




- Veto system covers entire DS and half TS

# Mu2e Cosmic-Ray Veto



- Will use 4 overlapping layers of scintillator
  - Each bar is  $5 \times 2 \times \sim 450 \text{ cm}^3$
  - 2 WLS fibers / bar
  - Read-out both ends of each fiber with SiPM
  - Have achieved  $\epsilon > 99.4\%$  (per layer) in test beam

# Cosmic Ray Veto




- Test beam data to vet design/performance

# Mu2e Neutron Shielding

- Several copious sources of neutrons
  - Production target, stopping target, collimators
- Lots of neutrons and subsequent photons (from n- capture and activation processes)
  - Generate false vetos in CRV... if rate high enough becomes a source of significant dead-time
  - Cause radiation damage to the read-out electronics (esp. SiPMs)

# Mu2e Neutron Shielding



- Have identified a cost effective shielding solution
- Non-trivial optimization required
- Reduces rates of neutrons and photons at CRV to acceptable level

# Mu2e Detector Hall



Graphic of Mu2e Detector Hall


- Construction well along
  - Expect to obtain beneficial occupancy sometime in the summer of 2016

# Construction Photos




- Steady progress being made

# Details, details, details




- Working to identify and resolve interface issues

# Mu2e Schedule




# What next?



- A next-generation Mu2e experiment makes sense in all scenarios
  - Push sensitivity or
  - Study underlying new physics
  - Will need more protons → upgrade accelerator
  - Snowmass white paper, arXiv:1307.1168

# $\mu N \rightarrow e N$ vs stopping-target $Z$

- By measuring the ratio of rates using different stopping targets Mu2e can unveil underlying new-physics mechanism



# Concluding remarks


# Summary

The Mu2e experiment:


- Improves sensitivity by a factor of  $10^4$
- Provides *discovery capability* over wide range of New Physics models
- Is complementary to LHC, heavy-flavor, and neutrino experiments
- Has begun construction already... will begin commissioning in 2020

# Interested in learning more?

- Technical Design Report
  - <http://arXiv.org/abs/1501.05241>
- Experiment web site
  - <http://mu2e.fnal.gov>




# The Mu2e Collaboration



- ~160 People, 33 Institutions, 4 Countries


# Thank You – and stay tuned!

- Technical Design Report  
– <http://arXiv.org/abs/1501.05241>
- Experiment web site  
– <http://mu2e.fnal.gov>




# Additional Slides

# Mu2e Sensitivity





- Mu2e will cover the entire space

# Mu2e Sensitivity




- Mu2e, MEG will each cover entire space

# Mu2e Sensitivity



- $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma, \tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$  will begin to probe this space



# Mu2e Sensitivity



- Mu2e will cover (almost) entire space

# Mu2e Sensitivity

A. Vicente & C.E. Yaguna – Scotogenic model,  $N_1$ - $N_1$  annihilation region



arXiv: 1412.2545 [hep-ph]

- Mu2e will explore a significant fraction of the parameter space


# Mu2e Sensitivity

TABLE XII: LFV rates for points **SPS 1a** and **SPS 1b** in the CKM case and in the  $U_{e3} = 0$  PMNS case. The processes that are within reach of the future experiments (MEG, SuperKEKB) have been highlighted in boldface. Those within reach of post-LHC era planned/discussed experiments (PRISM/PRIME, Super Flavour factory) highlighted in italics.

Process	SPS 1a		SPS 1b		SPS 2		SPS 3		Future Sensitivity
	CKM	$U_{e3} = 0$							
$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)$	<b><math>3.2 \cdot 10^{-14}</math></b>	<b><math>3.8 \cdot 10^{-13}</math></b>	<b><math>4.0 \cdot 10^{-13}</math></b>	<b><math>1.2 \cdot 10^{-12}</math></b>	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$
$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e e e)$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$9.4 \cdot 10^{-18}$	$6.2 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$8.9 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$
$\text{CR}(\mu \rightarrow e \text{ in Ti})$	<i><math>2.0 \cdot 10^{-15}</math></i>	<i><math>2.4 \cdot 10^{-14}</math></i>	<i><math>2.6 \cdot 10^{-15}</math></i>	<i><math>7.6 \cdot 10^{-14}</math></i>	<i><math>1.0 \cdot 10^{-16}</math></i>	<i><math>6.7 \cdot 10^{-16}</math></i>	<i><math>1.0 \cdot 10^{-16}</math></i>	<i><math>8.4 \cdot 10^{-16}</math></i>	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-18})$
$\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow e \gamma)$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$6.0 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$
$\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow e e e)$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$7.1 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$
$\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)$	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.3 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$7.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$6.0 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$
$\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu)$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$8.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$8.7 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$

- These are SuSy benchmark points for which LHC has discovery sensitivity
- Some of these will be observable by MEG/SuprB
- All of these will be observable by Mu2e

# Mu2e at Fermilab



- Mu2e will be located together with Muon (g-2) just west of Wilson Hall.

# Selection Requirements

Parameter	Requirement
Track quality and background rejection criteria	
Kalman Fit Status	Successful Fit
Number of active hits	$N_{\text{active}} \geq 25$
Fit consistency	$\chi^2$ consistency $> 2 \times 10^{-3}$
Estimated reconstructed momentum uncertainty	$\sigma_p < 250 \text{ keV}/c$
Estimated track $t_0$ uncertainty	$\sigma_t < 0.9 \text{ nsec}$
Track $t_0$ (livegate)	$700 \text{ ns} < t_0 < 1695 \text{ ns}$
Polar angle range (pitch)	$45^\circ < \theta < 60^\circ$
Minimum track transverse radius	$-80 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 105 \text{ mm}$
Maximum track transverse radius	$450 \text{ mm} < d_0 + 2/\omega < 680 \text{ mm}$
Track momentum	$103.75 < p < 105.0 \text{ MeV}/c$
Calorimeter matching and particle identification criteria	
Track match to a calorimeter cluster	$E_{\text{cluster}} > 10 \text{ MeV}$ $\chi^2$ (track-calo match) $< 100$
Ratio of cluster energy to track momentum	$E/P < 1.15$
Difference in track $t_0$ to calorimeter $t_0$	$\Delta t =  t_{\text{track}} - t_{\text{calo}}  < 3 \text{ ns from peak}$
Particle identification	$\log(L(e)/L(\mu)) < 1.5$

- Full set of selection criteria employed to estimate backgrounds and sensitivity reported in TDR (Summer 2014)

# Estimated background yields

Table 3.4 A summary of the estimated background yields using the selection criteria of Section 3.5.3. The total run time and corresponding number of protons on target are provided in Table 3.1. An extinction of  $10^{-10}$ , a cosmic ray inefficiency of  $10^{-4}$ , and particle-identification with a muon-rejection of 200 is used. ‘Intrinsic’ backgrounds are those that scale with the number of stopped muons, ‘Late Arriving’ backgrounds are those with a strong dependence on the extinction, and ‘Miscellaneous’ backgrounds are those that don’t fall into the previous two categories.

Category	Background process	Estimated yield (events)
Intrinsic	Muon decay-in-orbit (DIO)	$0.20 \pm 0.09$
	Muon capture (RMC)	$0.000^{+0.004}_{-0.000}$
Late Arriving	Pion capture (RPC)	$0.023 \pm 0.006$
	Muon decay-in-flight ( $\mu$ -DIF)	$< 0.003$
	Pion decay-in-flight ( $\pi$ -DIF)	$0.001 \pm < 0.001$
	Beam electrons	$0.003 \pm 0.001$
Miscellaneous	Antiproton induced	$0.047 \pm 0.024$
	Cosmic ray induced	$0.096 \pm 0.020$
Total		$0.37 \pm 0.10$

$$\text{Single event sensitivity} = (2.87 + 0.35 - 0.29) \times 10^{-17}$$

$$(\text{goal} = 2.4 \times 10^{-17})$$

# Systematic Uncertainties

Effect	Uncertainty in DIO background yield	Uncertainty in CE single-event-sensitivity ( $\times 10^{-17}$ )
MC Statistics	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.07$
Theoretical Uncertainty	$\pm 0.04$	-
Tracker Acceptance	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.03$
Reconstruction Efficiency	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.15$
Momentum Scale	+0.09, -0.06	$\pm 0.07$
$\mu$ -bunch Intensity Variation	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.1$
Beam Flash Uncertainty	$\pm 0.011$	$\pm 0.17$
$\mu$ -capture Proton Uncertainty	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.016$
$\mu$ -capture Neutron Uncertainty	$\pm 0.006$	$\pm 0.093$
$\mu$ -capture Photon Uncertainty	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.028$
Out-Of-Target $\mu$ Stops	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.055$
Degraded Tracker	-0.013	+0.191
Total (in quadrature)	+0.10, -0.08	+0.35, -0.29

- Evaluated for all background sources

# Mu2e Proton Timing





Figure 5.4. This figure shows the first eight Booster ticks of a Main Injector cycle. Proton batches are injected into the Recycler at the beginning of the cycle and again at the fourth tick. After each injection, the beam is bunched with 2.5 MHz RF and extracted one bunch at a time.


- Mu2e will run simultaneously with NOvA

# Tracker Occupancy




- Accidental occupancy from beam flash,  $\mu$  capture products, out-of-target  $\mu$  stops, etc.

# Signal Momentum Spectrum




- Smearing dominated by interactions in (neutron/proton) absorbers upstream of tracker

# Calorimeter Particle ID




- Electrons and muons well separated

# Calorimeter Particle ID





- Combine TOF and E/P information in LLR
  - 96% electron efficiency for muon rejection x200

# Calorimeter as fast trigger




- To achieve required reduction with high efficiency requires  $\sigma_E/E \sim 10\%$  or better

## False vetoes in CRV




- We need to understand contributions from accidentals and correlated-accidentals
  - For neutrons and photons as a function of time, energy, timing resolution, and read-out threshold


## Estimated dead time from CRV vetos – dominated by n/γ background



accidental




semi-correlated



correlated

- Total dead time from neutron/photon “noise” = 5%
  - For 500 keV readout threshold
  - Increasing to 1 MeV reduces to 2%
  - Cross-check with a separate physics generator (MARS) yields dead time within 50%

# PS Heat and Radiation Shield



- Must protect production solenoid from heat and radiation deposits from proton beam

# Epilogue


- High Energy Physics is at a crossroads
  - We know that the Standard Model is incomplete
  - We have lots of ideas about what a more complete model might look like
  - ... but we have no idea which is the right one

# Epilogue




Fermilab's Mu2e experiment is important because it is designed to discover which direction is the right one

# Understanding muon capture






- AlCap – measurement of products of muon captures on aluminum
  - Joint Mu2e/COMET effort
  - Took data Dec 2013, 2<sup>nd</sup> run in spring 2015

# Test Beam – December 2013




- Preliminary AlCap results
  - Analysis ongoing, but proton, deuteron lines clear

# Test Beam Efforts - Calorimeter



- Test beam (5 -500 MeV e-) in Frascati

# Other Mu2e R&D



Septa foil planes - Fermilab



2x4 Straw test - Fermilab



Custom pulsed power supply for fatigue tests on prototype production target - RAL




CRV Manifolds - U.Virginia



Straw leak test - CUNY

- Active R&D campaign across project

# Muon momentum distribution



- The muons that stop are low momentum

# As a function of Z

## Mu to E Conversion Endpoint as a function of target Z

- Things change

